#### Questions about MPMP results should be addressed to:

Name	Charlotte Edie C.A.	Phone	807-467-2000
Title	Deputy Treasurer		
Municipality	City of Kenora		
E-mail	cedie@kenora.ca		

### **Related documents and links**

## **Local Government**

1.1 GENERAL GOVERNMENT – EFFICIENCY							
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002		
	2.2%	2.3%	2.3%	2.3%	2.17%		

#### **Efficiency Measure**

Operating costs for governance and corporate management as a percentage of total municipal operating costs.

#### Objective

Efficient municipal government.

#### Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs. SLC 91 0204 13 Financial Information Return.

# **Fire Services**

2.1 FIRE SERVICES – EFFICIENCY					
	2006	2005			
	\$1.14	\$1.42	]		

#### **Efficiency Measure**

Operating costs for fire services per \$1,000 of assessment.

#### Objective

Efficient fire services.

#### Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs. SLC 91 1102 13 Financial Information Return.

## **Police Services**

3.1 POLICE SERVICES – EFFICIENCY						
	2006	2005				
	\$427.48	\$413.71				
Efficiency Measure Operating costs for police services per person.						

#### Objective

Efficient police services.

#### Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

SLC 91 1203 13 Financial Information Return.

		3.2 VIOLENT	CRIME RATE		
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
	25.78	34.26	28.49	22.09	27.01
<b>Effectiveness</b> Violent crime ra	<b>Measure</b> ate per 1,000 per	sons.			
<b>Objective</b> Safe communit	ies.				
Notes & Key F	actors for Unde	erstanding Res	ults		
SLC 92 1258 07 Fi	inancial Information	Return.			
		3.3 PROPERT	Y CRIME RATE		
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
	56.42	74.53	79.75	88.04	64.46
	f property crime	Return.			
	2006			2002	2002
	<b>2006</b> 166.00	<b>2005</b> 148.19	<b>2004</b> 145.63	<b>2003</b> 149.33	2002 X
<b>Objective</b> Safe Communit	e per 1,000 perso			cluding traffic).	
SLC 92 1263 07 F	inancial Information I	Return.			

3.5 YOUTH CRIME RATE						
	2006	2005				
	202.05	175.43				
Effectiveness Measure Youth crime rate per 1,000 youths.						
<b>Objective</b> Safe Communities.						
Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results						

The formula for youth crime was changed in 2005 to include youths who receive pre-charge resolutions such as warnings and cautions.

SLC 92 1265 07 Financial Information Return.

## Roads

4.1 PAVED ROADS – EFFICIENCY						
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	
	\$583.41	\$895.01	\$850.12	\$972.16	\$836.91	

#### **Efficiency Measure**

Operating costs for paved (hard top) roads per lane kilometre.

#### Objective

Efficient maintenance of paved roads.

#### **Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results**

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

SLC 91 2107 13 Financial Information Return. Now include external transfers however, no external transfers in this category.

### MUNICIPALITY

	4.2	UNPAVED RO	ADS – EFFICIEI	NCY	
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
	\$1962.30	\$1789.97	\$1470.67	\$1807.18	\$1876.79
Objective	s for unpaved (lo	oose top) roads p	per lane kilometr	e.	
Efficient mainte	enance of unpav	ed roads.			
Notes & Key F	actors for Und	erstanding Res	ults		
	-	-	-	finition of operating c however, no externa	
	4.3 WINTER	MAINTENANC	E OF ROADS –	EFFICIENCY	
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
	\$1799.76	\$2068.11	2244.91	1568.23	1388.81
Formulas for all eff	ficiency measures w	C C	5 to improve the def	finition of operating c s however, no exterr	
	4.4		OF PAVED ROA	DS	
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
	100%	57.1%	58.6%	61.3%	61.3%
Objective	paved lane kilom	netres where the nicipal objectives		ed as good to ver	y good.
Notes & Key F	actors for Und	erstanding Res	ults		
SLC 92 2152 07 F	inancial Information	Return.			

### MUNICIPALITY

Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2006 RESULTS

4.5 WINTER EVENT RESPONSES						
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	

#### **Effectiveness Measure**

Percentage of winter events where the response met or exceeded locally determined municipal service levels for road maintenance.

#### Objective

Appropriate response to winter storm events.

#### Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

SLC 92 2251 07 Financial Information Return.

# **Conventional Transit**

**CONTACT PERSON** 

	5.1 CO	NVENTIONAL 1	RANSIT – EFFICIENCY			
	2006	2005				
	\$3.35	\$3.37				
Efficiency Measure Operating costs for conventional transit per regular service passenger trip.						
<b>Objective</b> Efficient conver	ntional transit se	rvices.				
Notes & Key F	actors for Unde	erstanding Res	ults			
	-	C C	5 to improve the definition of operating cos			
SLC 91 2302 13 F	inancial Information	Return.				

5.2 CONVENTIONAL TRANSIT RIDERSHIP						
	2006	2005	2005	2003	2002	
	9.41	8.46	6.43	6.64	7.68	

#### **Effectiveness Measure**

Number of conventional transit passenger trips per person in the service area in a year.

#### Objective

Maximum utilization of municipal transit services.

#### Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

SLC 92 2351 07 Financial Information Return.

# Wastewater (Sewage)

6.1 WASTEWATER COLLECTION – EFFICIENCY								
2006 2005 2004 2003								
	\$8351.11	\$4016.80	\$4758.88	\$4699.14				
Efficiency Measure Operating costs for the collection of wastewater per kilometre of wastewater main.								
Objective Efficient municipal wastewater collection services.								
•	Factors for Under to eliminate conn	-		\$4,827.43.				
Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs. SLC 91 3110 13 Financial Information Return.								
In 2006, the defini	tion of kilometres of	wastewater main wa	s redefined; connec	tions were elimina	ted.			

					<u>NV</u>
6.2	2 WASTEWAT	ER TREATMEN	<u>r and dispos</u> ,	AL – EFFICIENC	<u>۲</u>
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
	\$306.26	\$245.97	\$205.46	\$193.64	\$152.32
Objective	s for the treatme	nt and disposal o treatment and dis		er megalitre.	
		erstanding Res	•		
Formulas for all eff	iciency measures w	vere changed in 200	5 to improve the def	inition of operating o	costs.
One megalitre equ	als 1,000,000 litres	or 1,000 cubic metre	es.		
SLC 91 3108 13 Ficategory.	inancial Information	Return. Now includ	es external transfer	s however, no exteri	nal transfers in this
	6.3 WASTEW	ATER INTEGRA	TED SYSTEM -	- EFFICIENCY	
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
	\$747.25	\$521.14	\$501.08	\$489.21	\$367.52
(Integrated Sys	s for the collection tem).	on, treatment and tegrated System		stewater per meg	galitre
Operating costs (Integrated Sys <b>Objective</b> Efficient wastev	s for the collection tem). water system (In	on, treatment and tegrated System erstanding Rest	).	stewater per me	galitre
Operating costs (Integrated Sys <b>Objective</b> Efficient wastew <b>Notes &amp; Key F</b>	s for the collection tem). water system (In f <b>actors for Und</b> e	tegrated System	). ults		
Operating costs (Integrated Sys <b>Objective</b> Efficient wastew <b>Notes &amp; Key F</b> Formulas for all eff	s for the collection tem). water system (In cactors for Under iciency measures w	tegrated System erstanding Rest	). ults 5 to improve the def		
Operating costs (Integrated Sys <b>Objective</b> Efficient wastew <b>Notes &amp; Key F</b> Formulas for all eff One megalitre equ	s for the collection tem). water system (In cactors for Under iciency measures w	tegrated System erstanding Resu rere changed in 2009 or 1,000 cubic metre	). ults 5 to improve the def		
Operating costs (Integrated Sys <b>Objective</b> Efficient wastew <b>Notes &amp; Key F</b> Formulas for all eff One megalitre equ	s for the collection tem). water system (In factors for Under iciency measures wals 1,000,000 litres inancial Information	tegrated System erstanding Resu rere changed in 2009 or 1,000 cubic metre Return.	). ults 5 to improve the def es.	inition of operating c	
Operating costs (Integrated Sys <b>Objective</b> Efficient wastew <b>Notes &amp; Key F</b> Formulas for all eff One megalitre equ	s for the collection tem). water system (In factors for Under iciency measures we als 1,000,000 litres inancial Information 6.4	tegrated System erstanding Resu rere changed in 2009 or 1,000 cubic metre Return. WASTEWATE	). ults 5 to improve the def es. R MAIN BACKU	inition of operating c	costs.
Operating costs (Integrated Sys <b>Objective</b> Efficient wastew <b>Notes &amp; Key F</b> Formulas for all eff One megalitre equ	s for the collection tem). water system (In factors for Under iciency measures wals 1,000,000 litres inancial Information	tegrated System erstanding Resu rere changed in 2009 or 1,000 cubic metre Return.	). ults 5 to improve the def es.	inition of operating c	
Operating costs (Integrated Sys <b>Objective</b> Efficient wastew <b>Notes &amp; Key F</b> Formulas for all eff One megalitre equ SLC 91 3109 13 Fi <b>Effectiveness</b> Number of was <b>Objective</b> Municipal sewa	s for the collection tem). water system (In factors for Under iciency measures we als 1,000,000 litres inancial Information 6.4 2006 24.8175 Measure tewater main back age managemen	tegrated System erstanding Resu rere changed in 2009 or 1,000 cubic metre Return. WASTEWATE 2005 35.7664 ckups per 100 ki t practices preve	). ults 5 to improve the def es. R MAIN BACKU 2004 5.8608 ilometres of was ent environmenta	inition of operating c IPS 2003 5.1852 tewater main in a	2002 0 a year.
Operating costs (Integrated Sys <b>Objective</b> Efficient wastew <b>Notes &amp; Key F</b> Formulas for all eff One megalitre equ SLC 91 3109 13 Fi <b>Effectiveness</b> Number of was <b>Objective</b> Municipal sewa	s for the collection tem). water system (In factors for Under iciency measures we als 1,000,000 litres inancial Information 6.4 2006 24.8175 Measure tewater main back age managemen	tegrated System erstanding Resu rere changed in 2009 or 1,000 cubic metre Return. WASTEWATE 2005 35.7664 ckups per 100 ki	). ults 5 to improve the def es. R MAIN BACKU 2004 5.8608 ilometres of was ent environmenta	inition of operating c IPS 2003 5.1852 tewater main in a	2002 0 a year.
Operating costs (Integrated Sys <b>Objective</b> Efficient wastew <b>Notes &amp; Key F</b> Formulas for all eff One megalitre equ SLC 91 3109 13 Fi <b>Effectiveness</b> Number of was <b>Objective</b> Municipal sewa <b>Notes &amp; Key F</b>	s for the collection tem). water system (In factors for Under iciency measures we als 1,000,000 litres inancial Information 6.4 2006 24.8175 Measure tewater main back age managemen	tegrated System erstanding Resu rere changed in 2009 or 1,000 cubic metre Return. WASTEWATE 2005 35.7664 ckups per 100 ki t practices preve erstanding Resu	). ults 5 to improve the def es. R MAIN BACKU 2004 5.8608 ilometres of was ent environmenta	inition of operating c IPS 2003 5.1852 tewater main in a	2002 0 a year.

6.5 WASTEWATER BYPASSES TREATMENT						
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	
	.001%	0%	0%	7.169%	0%	

#### **Effectiveness Measure**

Percentage of wastewater estimated to have by-passed treatment.

#### Objective

Municipal sewage management practices prevent environmental and human health hazards.

#### Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

SLC 92 3155 07 Financial Information Return.

## **Storm Water**

**2006** \$247.34

#### **Efficiency Measure**

Operating costs for urban storm water management (collection, treatment, disposal) per kilometre of drainage system.

#### Objective

Efficient urban storm water management.

#### Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

In 2006, the definition of kilometres of drainage system was redefined to eliminate connections; catch basins were retained.

Reported by municipalities with a separate storm water system.

SLC 91 3207 13 Financial Information Return.

7.2 RURAL STORM WATER MANAGEMENT – EFFICIENCY							
	2006						
	\$ -						
Efficiency Mea Operating costs kilometre of dra	s for rural storm	water management (collection, treatment, dispo	sal) per				
<b>Objective</b> Efficient rural s	torm water mana	agement.					
Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results							
	2	rere changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating drainage system was redefined to eliminate connections; c					

Reported by municipalities with a separate storm water system.

SLC 91 3208 13 Financial Information Return.

# **Drinking Water**

8.1 DRINKING WATER TREATMENT – EFFICIENCY							
	2006	2005					
	\$295.72	\$257.86					
Efficiency Measure Operating costs for the treatment of drinking water per megalitre.							
<b>Objective</b> Efficient munic	ipal water treatm	ent services.					
Notes & Key F	actors for Unde	erstanding Res	lts				
Formulas for all ef	ficiency measures w	ere changed in 200	to improve the definition of operating cost				
1 magalitra aquala	1,000,000 litres or	1,000 cubic metres.					
i megalitre equals							

	8.2 DRINKI	NG WATER DIS	TRIBUTION - E	FFICIENCY			
	2006						
	\$8756.77						
		tion of drinking w	ater per kilomet	re of water distrib	oution pipe.		
Objective Efficient munic	ipal water distrib	ution services.					
Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results							
Formulas for all ef	ficiency measures w	vere changed in 200	5 to improve the def	inition of operating co	osts.		
In 2006, the defini	tion of distribution pi	pe was redefined; co	onnections and hydi	ants were eliminated	l <b>.</b>		
SLC 91 3310 13 F	inancial Information	Return.					
	8.3 DRINKING	WATER INTEGR	RATED SYSTEM	<i>I –</i> EFFICIENCY			
	2006	2005					
	\$702.17	\$636.13					
(Integrated Sys <b>Objective</b> Efficient munic	s for the treatme stem) ipal water systen	n (Integrated Sys	-	ter per megalitre			
Key Factors fo	or Understandir	ng Performance					
Formulas for all ef	ficiency measures w	vere changed in 200	5 to improve the def	inition of operating co	osts.		
1 megalitre equals	1,000,000 litres or 7	1,000 cubic metres.					
SLC 91 3309 13 F	inancial Information	Return.					
		8.4 BOIL WATE		6			
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002		
	20.647	.0962	0	21	0		
applicable to a <b>Objective</b>		supply, was in e		the Medical Offic	er of Health,		
Notes & Key F	Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results						

SLC 92 3355 07 Financial Information Return.

8.5 BREAKS IN WATER MAINS								
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002			
	9.9237	14.5038	6.1069	10.4167	15.2778			
Effectiveness Measure Number of water main breaks per 100 kilometres of water distribution pipe in a year.								
<b>Objective</b> Improve system	n reliability.							
Notes & Key F	actors for Und	erstanding Res	ults					
		U						
SLC 92 3356 07 F	inancial Information	Return.						

# Solid Waste Management (Garbage)

9.1 GARBAGE COLLECTION – EFFICIENCY								
	2006	2005	2004					
	\$559.78	\$471.75	\$488.86					
Efficiency Measure Operating costs for garbage collection per tonne. Objective Efficient municipal garbage collection services.								
Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results								
Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs. SLC 91 3403 13 Financial Information Return.								

	2000	2005	2024	2002	0000
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
	\$53.91	\$72.08	\$64.53	\$96.04	\$100.84
Efficiency Mea	asure				
•		sposal per tonne.			
Objective	5 5				
•	ipal garbage dis	nosal services			
Notes & Key F	actors for Und	lerstanding Res	ults		
Formulas for all eff	ficiency measures v	were changed in 200	5 to improve the def	inition of operating o	costs.
SLC 91 3503 13 F	inancial Information	n Return. Now includ	es external transfer	s however, none in t	this category.
	9.3 SOLID WA	STE DIVERSION		- FFFICIENCY	
	2006	2005		Linioillio	
	\$216.24	\$183.79			
	<b>\$</b>	<i><i><i>ϕ</i></i></i>			
Objective		e diversion (recyc diversion (recycli	•		
Objective Efficient munici Notes & Key F	ipal solid waste Factors for Und	diversion (recycli lerstanding Reso were changed in 2009	ng) services.	inition of operating o	costs.
Objective Efficient munici Notes & Key F Formulas for all eff SLC 91 3604 13 F	ipal solid waste Factors for Und ficiency measures w inancial Information	diversion (recycli lerstanding Res were changed in 2009 n Return.	ng) services. ults 5 to improve the def		
Objective Efficient munici Notes & Key F Formulas for all eff SLC 91 3604 13 F	ipal solid waste Factors for Und ficiency measures w inancial Information OLID WASTE M 2006	diversion (recycli lerstanding Resi were changed in 2009 in Return. MANAGEMENT ( 2005	ng) services. ults 5 to improve the def		
Objective Efficient munici Notes & Key F Formulas for all eff SLC 91 3604 13 F	ipal solid waste Factors for Und ficiency measures w inancial Information	diversion (recycli lerstanding Res were changed in 2009 n Return.	ng) services. ults 5 to improve the def		
Objective Efficient munici Notes & Key F Formulas for all eff SLC 91 3604 13 F 9.4 S Efficiency Mea Average operationne.	ipal solid waste Factors for Und ficiency measures w inancial Information OLID WASTE N 2006 \$90.33	diversion (recycli lerstanding Resi were changed in 2009 in Return. MANAGEMENT ( 2005	ng) services. ults 5 to improve the def	SYSTEM) – EFF	
Objective Efficient munici Notes & Key F Formulas for all eff SLC 91 3604 13 F 9.4 S Efficiency Mea Average operationne. Objective	ipal solid waste Factors for Und ficiency measures w inancial Information OLID WASTE M 2006 \$90.33 asure ting costs for so	diversion (recycli lerstanding Resu were changed in 2009 in Return. MANAGEMENT ( 2005 \$102.83	ng) services. ults 5 to improve the def (INTEGRATED S ement (collection	SYSTEM) – EFF	
Objective Efficient munici Notes & Key F Formulas for all eff SLC 91 3604 13 F 9.4 S Efficiency Mea Average opera- tonne. Objective Efficient munici	ipal solid waste Factors for Und ficiency measures winancial Information OLID WASTE N 2006 \$90.33 asure ting costs for so	diversion (recycli lerstanding Resi were changed in 2009 in Return. MANAGEMENT ( 2005 \$102.83	ng) services. ults 5 to improve the def INTEGRATED S ement (collection tegrated System	SYSTEM) – EFF	
Objective Efficient munici Notes & Key F Formulas for all eff SLC 91 3604 13 F 9.4 S Efficiency Mea Average opera- tonne. Objective Efficient munici	ipal solid waste Factors for Und ficiency measures winancial Information OLID WASTE N 2006 \$90.33 asure ting costs for so	diversion (recycli lerstanding Resu were changed in 2009 n Return. MANAGEMENT ( 2005 \$102.83 Nid waste manage management (Int	ng) services. ults 5 to improve the def INTEGRATED S ement (collection tegrated System	SYSTEM) – EFF	
Objective Efficient munici Notes & Key F Formulas for all eff SLC 91 3604 13 F 9.4 S Efficiency Mea Average operatonne. Objective Efficient munici Notes & Key F	ipal solid waste Factors for Und ficiency measures w inancial Information OLID WASTE I 2006 \$90.33 asure ting costs for so ipal solid waste Factors for Und	diversion (recycli lerstanding Resu were changed in 2009 n Return. MANAGEMENT ( 2005 \$102.83 Nid waste manage management (Int	ng) services. ults 5 to improve the def (INTEGRATED ement (collection tegrated System ults	SYSTEM) – EFF n, disposal and c	ICIENCY

9.5 COMP	LAINTS — COL	LECTION OF	GARBAGE			
	AND RECYCLE	D MATERIALS				
2006 2005 2004 2003 2002						
13.165	19.104	13.8045	23.6318	34.8141		

#### Effectiveness Measure

Number of complaints received in a year concerning the collection of garbage and recycled materials per 1,000 households.

#### Objective

Improved garbage collection of garbage and recycled materials.

#### Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

SLC 92 3452 07 Financial Information Return.

9.6 N	UMBER OF MU	NICIPAL SOLID	WASTE MANA	GEMENT FACIL	ITIES
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
	3	3	3	3	3

#### Effectiveness Measure

Total number of solid waste management facilities owned by municipality with a Ministry of Environment Certificate of Approval.

#### Objective

Context for solid waste management facility compliance measure.

#### Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

SLC 92 3552 07 Financial Information Return.

#### MUNICIPALITY

Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2006 RESULTS

#### 9.7 FACILITY COMPLIANCE

#### Effectiveness Measure

Number of days per year when a Ministry of Environment compliance order for remediation concerning an air or groundwater standard was in effect for a municipally owned solid waste management facility, by facility.

#### Objective

Municipal solid waste services do not have an adverse impact on environment.

	Dave	Days	Days	Days	Days
Facility Name	Days 2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
Kenora Area Solid Waste Transfer Facility	0	0	0	0	0
Kenora Area Solid Waste Landfill Site	0	0	0	0	0
Tri-Municipal Landfill Site	0	0	0	0	0
Please delete un-used rows					

#### Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Performance

Facility Name: SLC 92 3553 03 to SLC 92 3560 03

Days: SLC 92 3553 07 to SLC 92 3560 07

List facilities in the order they appear in the 2006 FIR.

## MUNICIPALITY

	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
-	N/A%	30.7%	32.3%	29.8%	24.27%
Effectiveness I	Measure				
Percentage of re		waste diverted f	or recvclina.		
Objective					
•	waste reduction	programs diver	t waste from land	dfills and/or incin	nerators.
		1 5			
Notes & Key Fa	actors for Unde	erstanding Res	ults		
See below.		_			
SLC 92 3655 07 Fir	nancial Information	Return.			
	9.9 DIVER	KSION OF RES	IDENTIAL SOLI	DWASIE	
(Based on C	omhined Resid	lential and Ind			al Tonnada)
(Based on C			ustrial, Comme	cial, Institution	
(Based on C	2006	2005	ustrial, Comme 2004	cial, Institution 2003	2002
(Based on C			ustrial, Comme	cial, Institution	
Effectiveness I	<b>2006</b> 9.8% Measure	2005 x%	ustrial, Commen 2004 x%	cial, Institution 2003	2002
Effectiveness I Percentage of re	2006 9.8% Measure esidential solid v	2005 x%	ustrial, Commen 2004 x% or recycling	rcial, Institution 2003 x%	2002 x%
Effectiveness I Percentage of re	2006 9.8% Measure esidential solid v	2005 x%	ustrial, Commen 2004 x%	rcial, Institution 2003 x%	2002 x%
Effectiveness I Percentage of re (based on comb Objective	2006 9.8% Measure esidential solid v pined residential	2005 x% waste diverted fo and Industrial/0	ustrial, Commer 2004 x% or recycling Commercial/Insti	rcial, Institution 2003 x% tutional tonnage	2002 x%
Effectiveness I Percentage of re (based on comb Objective	2006 9.8% Measure esidential solid v pined residential	2005 x% waste diverted fo and Industrial/0	ustrial, Commen 2004 x% or recycling	rcial, Institution 2003 x% tutional tonnage	2002 x%
Effectiveness I Percentage of re (based on comb Objective Municipal solid	2006 9.8% Measure esidential solid w pined residential waste reduction	2005 x% waste diverted fr and Industrial/C programs diver	ustrial, Commer 2004 x% or recycling Commercial/Insti t waste from land	rcial, Institution 2003 x% tutional tonnage	2002 x%
Effectiveness I Percentage of re (based on comb Objective Municipal solid Notes & Key Fa	2006 9.8% Measure esidential solid v bined residential waste reduction	2005 x% waste diverted fo and Industrial/C programs diver	ustrial, Commer 2004 x% or recycling Commercial/Insti t waste from land	rcial, Institution 2003 x% tutional tonnage	2002 x%
Effectiveness I Percentage of re (based on comb Objective Municipal solid	2006 9.8% Measure esidential solid v bined residential waste reduction	2005 x% waste diverted fo and Industrial/C programs diver	ustrial, Commer 2004 x% or recycling Commercial/Insti t waste from land	rcial, Institution 2003 x% tutional tonnage	2002 x%
Effectiveness I Percentage of re (based on comb Objective Municipal solid Notes & Key Fa	2006 9.8% Measure esidential solid w bined residential waste reduction actors for Under calculated as 9.3	2005 x% waste diverted fo and Industrial/C programs diver erstanding Res 8.	ustrial, Commer 2004 x% or recycling Commercial/Insti t waste from land	rcial, Institution 2003 x% tutional tonnage	2002 x%

# **Parks and Recreation**

		101 DADKS	- EFFICIENCY			
	2006	2005	- EFFICIENCT			
	\$33.08	\$40.20				
	<b>asure</b> s for parks per p	person.				
Objective Efficient operat	ion of parks.					
Notes & Key F	actors for Und	erstanding Res	ults			
Formulas for all ef	ficiency measures v	vere changed in 200	5 to improve the de	finition of ope	rating costs.	
	ficiency measures v inancial Information	U U	5 to improve the de	finition of ope	rating costs.	
	inancial Information	U U			rating costs.	
	inancial Information 10.2 RE 2006	CREATION PRO			rating costs.	
	inancial Information 10.2 RE	Return.			rating costs.	
SLC 91 7102 13 F Efficiency Mea	inancial Information <b>10.2 RE</b> <b>2006</b> \$11.27 asure	Return. CREATION PR( 2005 \$7.88	DGRAMS – EFI		rating costs.	
SLC 91 7102 13 F Efficiency Mea Operating costs Objective	inancial Information <b>10.2 RE</b> <b>2006</b> \$11.27 <b>asure</b> s for recreation	Return. CREATION PRO 2005 \$7.88 programs per pe	DGRAMS – EFI		rating costs.	
SLC 91 7102 13 F Efficiency Mea Operating costs Objective	inancial Information <b>10.2 RE</b> <b>2006</b> \$11.27 asure	Return. CREATION PRO 2005 \$7.88 programs per pe	DGRAMS – EFI		rating costs.	
SLC 91 7102 13 F Efficiency Mea Operating cost Objective Efficient operat	inancial Information <b>10.2 RE</b> <b>2006</b> \$11.27 <b>asure</b> s for recreation p ion of recreation	Return. CREATION PR 2005 \$7.88 programs per pe	DGRAMS – EF		rating costs.	
SLC 91 7102 13 F Efficiency Mea Operating cost Objective Efficient operat	inancial Information <b>10.2 RE</b> <b>2006</b> \$11.27 <b>asure</b> s for recreation p ion of recreation	Return. CREATION PRO 2005 \$7.88 programs per pe	DGRAMS – EF		rating costs.	
SLC 91 7102 13 F Efficiency Mea Operating costs Objective Efficient operat Notes & Key F	inancial Information 10.2 RE 2006 \$11.27 asure s for recreation p ion of recreation actors for Und	Return. CREATION PR 2005 \$7.88 programs per pe	DGRAMS – EF son. Jlts	FICIENCY		
SLC 91 7102 13 F Efficiency Mea Operating cost Objective Efficient operat Notes & Key F Formulas for all ef	inancial Information <b>10.2 RE</b> <b>2006</b> \$11.27 <b>asure</b> s for recreation p ion of recreation <b>Factors for Und</b> ficiency measures v	Return. CREATION PR 2005 \$7.88 programs per pe programs. erstanding Res	DGRAMS – EF son. ults	FICIENCY	rating costs.	

	10.3 RE		CILITIES – EFFICIENCY
	2006	2005	
	\$171.74	\$143.69	
Efficiency Mea	asure		
•		facilities per pers	on.
Objective			
Efficient operat	tion of recreation	n facilities.	
Notes & Key F	actors for Und	erstanding Res	ults
-		U	
	-	-	5 to improve the definition of operating costs.
	inancial Information		
10.4 PARKS	, RECREATION		ND RECREATION FACILITIES (SUBTOTAL)
	2006	2005	
	\$216.09	<u> </u>	
	φ210.09	\$191.76	
Efficiency Me		\$191.76	
Efficiency Mea Operating costs	asure		and recreation facilities per person (Subtotal).
Operating costs	asure		and recreation facilities per person (Subtotal).
Operating costs <b>Objective</b>	asure s for parks, recre	eation programs	and recreation facilities per person (Subtotal).
Operating costs <b>Objective</b> Efficient operat	asure s for parks, recre tion of parks, rec	eation programs	s and recreation facilities.
Operating costs <b>Objective</b> Efficient operat	asure s for parks, recre tion of parks, rec	eation programs	s and recreation facilities.
Operating costs <b>Objective</b> Efficient operat	asure s for parks, recre tion of parks, rec	eation programs	s and recreation facilities.
Operating costs Objective Efficient operat Notes & Key F	asure s for parks, recre tion of parks, rec Factors for Und	eation programs creation programs erstanding Res	s and recreation facilities.
Operating costs Objective Efficient operat Notes & Key F Formulas for all eff This measure repr	asure s for parks, recre tion of parks, rec <b>Factors for Und</b> ficiency measures w	eation programs creation programs erstanding Res vere changed in 2003	s and recreation facilities.

	2006	2005		ION PROGRAMS
	459.043	N/A		
Effectiveness		ation programs pe	or 1 000 porce	
		ation programs pe	i 1,000 persi	JII5.
<b>Objective</b> Recreation pro-	grams serve nee	eds of residents.		
Notes & Key F	actors for Unde	erstanding Resul	ts	
-		for part of the yea		
This measure wa	as redefined in 200	)5 to exclude specia	l events.	
The denominator of participants in recr		al population divided	oy 1,000 and do	es not represent the number
	inancial Information	Return		
	2000	10.6 TR		
	2006	2005	2004	_
	1354	1354	1354	
Effectiveness	Measure			
Total kilometre	s of trails.			
Objective				
Objective	ecreation opport	unities.		
<b>Objective</b> Trails provide r	ecreation opport			
<b>Objective</b> Trails provide r			2004	
<b>Objective</b> Trails provide r	inancial Information	Return.	<b>2004</b> N/A	
<b>Objective</b> Trails provide r SLC 92 7152 05 F	inancial Information 2006 98.066	Return. 2005		
<b>Objective</b> Trails provide r SLC 92 7152 05 F <b>Effectiveness</b>	inancial Information 2006 98.066 Measure	Return. 2005 91.62		_
<b>Objective</b> Trails provide r SLC 92 7152 05 F <b>Effectiveness</b>	inancial Information 2006 98.066	Return. 2005 91.62		
Objective Trails provide r SLC 92 7152 05 F Effectiveness Total kilometre	inancial Information 2006 98.066 Measure	Return. <b>2005</b> 91.62 00 persons.		
Objective Trails provide r SLC 92 7152 05 F Effectiveness Total kilometre SLC 92 7152 07 F	inancial Information <b>2006</b> 98.066 <b>Measure</b> s of trails per 1,0 inancial Information	2005           91.62           000 persons.           Return.	N/A	
Objective Trails provide r SLC 92 7152 05 F Effectiveness Total kilometre SLC 92 7152 07 F Notes & Key F	inancial Information 2006 98.066 Measure s of trails per 1,0 inancial Information Factors for Under	Return. <b>2005</b> 91.62 00 persons.	N/A	
Objective Trails provide r SLC 92 7152 05 F Effectiveness Total kilometre SLC 92 7152 07 F	inancial Information 2006 98.066 Measure s of trails per 1,0 inancial Information Factors for Under	2005           91.62           000 persons.           Return.	N/A	
Objective Trails provide r SLC 92 7152 05 F Effectiveness Total kilometre SLC 92 7152 07 F Notes & Key F	inancial Information 2006 98.066 Measure s of trails per 1,0 inancial Information Factors for Under	2005           91.62           000 persons.           Return.	N/A	

**10.7 OPEN SPACE** 2006 340 **Effectiveness Measure** Hectares of open space (municipally owned). Objective Open space is adequate for population. SLC 92 7155 05 Financial Information Return. 2006 24.625 **Effectiveness Measure** Hectares of open space per 1,000 persons (municipally owned). SLC 92 7155 07 Financial Information Return. **Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results 10.8 INDOOR RECREATION FACILITIES** 2006 12211 **Effectiveness Measure** Square metres of indoor recreation facilities (municipally owned). Objective Recreation facility space is adequate for population. The measure of recreation facilities was split into a measure of indoor recreation facilities and a measure of outdoor recreation facility space. The new measures are defined as municipally owned facilities. SLC 92 7356 05 Financial Information Return. 2006 884.406

#### Effectiveness Measure

Square metres of indoor recreation facility space per 1,000 persons (municipally owned).

The measure of recreation facilities was split into a measure of indoor recreation facilities and a measure of outdoor recreation facility space. The new measures are defined as municipally owned facilities.

SLC 92 7356 07 Financial Information Return.

#### Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

2006

#### **10.9 OUTDOOR RECREATION FACILITY SPACE**

0

#### Effectiveness Measure

Square metres of outdoor recreation facility space (municipally owned).

#### Objective

Recreation facility space is adequate for population.

The measure of recreation facilities was split into a measure of indoor recreation facilities and a measure of outdoor recreation facility space. The new measures are defined as municipally owned facilities.

SLC 92 7359 05 Financial Information Return.

#### **Effectiveness Measure**

Square metres of outdoor recreation facility space per 1,000 persons (municipally owned).

The measure of recreation facilities was split into a measure of indoor recreation facilities and a measure of outdoor recreation facility space. The new measures are defined as municipally owned facilities.

SLC 92 7359 07 Financial Information Return.

#### Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

# Libraries

	11.1 LIBR 2006	2005 ARY COSTS PI	R PERSON – EFFICIENCY
	\$40.95	\$45.34	
Efficiency Mea Operating costs		r person.	
<b>Objective</b> Efficient library	services.		
Notes & Key F	actors for Und	erstanding Res	ults
		U	
Formulas for all eff	iciency measures y	vere changed in 200	15 to improve the definition of operating costs.
	iciency measures v	vere changed in 200	to improve the demition of operating costs.
	noncial Information	Doturn	
SLU 91 7403 13 F	inancial Information 11.2 LIE		PER USE – EFFICIENCY
SLC 91 7403 13 FI			PER USE – EFFICIENCY
SLC 91 7403 13 F	11.2 LIE	BRARY COSTS	PER USE – EFFICIENCY
Efficiency Mea Operating costs	11.2 LIE 2006 \$1.15 sure	BRARY COSTS 2005 \$1.12	PER USE – EFFICIENCY
Efficiency Mea	11.2 LIE 2006 \$1.15 asure s for libraries pe	BRARY COSTS 2005 \$1.12	PER USE – EFFICIENCY
Efficiency Mea Operating costs Objective Efficient library	11.2 LIE 2006 \$1.15 sure s for libraries pe services	BRARY COSTS 2005 \$1.12	
Efficiency Mea Operating costs Objective Efficient library Notes & Key F	11.2 LIE 2006 \$1.15 asure s for libraries pe services actors for Und	BRARY COSTS 2005 \$1.12 or use. erstanding Res	sults
Efficiency Mea Operating costs Objective Efficient library Notes & Key F	11.2 LIE 2006 \$1.15 asure s for libraries pe services actors for Und	BRARY COSTS 2005 \$1.12 or use. erstanding Res	

		11.3 LIBR	ARY USES	
	2006	2005	2004	
	35.62	40.61		
Effectiveness Library uses pe				
<b>Objective</b> Increased use	of library service	S.		
Notes & Key F	actors for Unde	erstanding Res	ults	
SLC 92 7460 07 F	inancial Information	Return.		
	11.	4 ELECTRON	IC LIBRARY US	SES
	2006	2005	2004	
	12.8%	21.6%	x%	
	of library service actors for Unde		ults	
SLC 92 7461 07 F	inancial Information	Return.		
	11.5	NON-ELECTRO	ONIC LIBRARY	USES
	2006	2005	2004	
	87.2%	78.4%	N/A%	
Objective	<b>Measure</b> library uses as a ion on library usa		total library uses	5.
Notes & Key F 2004 not availa	actors for Unde	erstanding Res	ults	
SLC 92 7462 07 F	inancial Information	Return.		

# Land Use Planning

12.1 LOCATION OF NEW DEVELOPMENT						
	2006 2005 2004 2003 2002					
	12.5%	0%	50%	28.6%	100%	

#### Effectiveness Measure

Percentage of new lots, blocks and/or units with final approval which are located within settlement areas.

#### Objective

New lot creation is occurring in settlement areas.

#### Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

SLC 92 8154 07 Financial Information Return.

12.2 PRESERVATION OF AGRICULTURAL LAND DURING 2006					
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
	x%	x%	x%	x%	x%

#### **Effectiveness Measure**

Percentage of land designated for agricultural purposes which was not re-designated for other uses during the reporting year.

#### Objective

Preservation of agricultural land.

#### Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Not applicable.

SLC 92 8163 07 Financial Information Return.

12.3	PRESERVATIO	ON OF AGRICU	LTURAL LAND	RELATIVE TO	2000
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
	x%	x%	x%	x%	x%
uses relative to Objective		f 2000.	urposes which	was not re-desig	nated for other
Notes & Key F No applicable.	actors for Unde	erstanding Res	ults		
SLC 92 8164 07 F	inancial Information	Return.			
12.4 C	HANGE IN NUM	IBER OF AGRIC	CULTURAL HE	CTARES DURIN	G 2006
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
	0	0	0	0	0
	agricultural land	d. erstanding Resi	ults		
SLC 92 8165 07 F	inancial Information	Return.			
12.5	CHANGE IN NU	MBER OF AGRI	CULTURAL HE	ECTARES SINCI	E 2000
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
	0	0	0	0	0
Effectiveness	Measure				
		inally designated	•	l purposes which	was
<b>Objective</b> Preservation of	agricultural land	1.			
Notes & Kev F	'a atawa ƙay Ulyak	erstanding Res	ulte		
	actors for Unde		uns		